Pupil premium strategy statement (primary)

1. Outliniary in	formation					
School	Bury C	E Primary School				
Academic Year	2017/1	3 Total PP budget	£2600	Date of most recei	nt PP Review	July 2017
Total number of	pupils 44	Number of pupils eligible for PP	ble for PP 2 Date for next internal review of this strateg		nal review of this strategy	July 2018
2. Current atta	inment					
			Pupils eligi	ible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (nat	ional average)
% achieving in reading, writing and maths					%	
% making progr	ess in reading				%	
% making progress in writing					%	
% making progress in maths					%	
A. High staff B. No long of		es to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills) erm planning in place prior to Jan '17				
C. No assess	· ·	prior to Jan '17 therefore identification of specific	<u> </u>	•		
C. No assess	s (issues which al	prior to Jan '17 therefore identification of specific so require action outside school, such a	<u> </u>	•		
C. No assess External barriers D. High mobi	s (issues which all lity, high proportion of	prior to Jan '17 therefore identification of specific so require action outside school, such a	<u> </u>	•		
C. No assess	s (issues which all lity, high proportion of	prior to Jan '17 therefore identification of specific so require action outside school, such a	<u> </u>	•		
C. No assess External barriers D. High mobi	s (issues which all lity, high proportion of comes	prior to Jan '17 therefore identification of specific so require action outside school, such a	<u> </u>	•		
C. No assess External barriers D. High mobi 4. Desired out	s (issues which all lity, high proportion of comes putcomes and hor	prior to Jan '17 therefore identification of specific so require action outside school, such a SEN	<u> </u>	rates) Success criteria	e used to inform planning	
C. No assess External barriers D. High mobi 4. Desired out Desired out A. Specific ga	s (issues which all lity, high proportion of comes outcomes and hor ups in learning identifie	prior to Jan '17 therefore identification of specific so require action outside school, such a SEN w they will be measured	<u> </u>	rates) Success criteria Assessment packag	e used to inform planning between children in receipt of PP a	nd rest of cohe
C.No assessExternal barriersD.High mobil4. Desired outDesiredA.Specific gaB.All pupils to	s (issues which all lity, high proportion of comes outcomes and hor ups in learning identifie	prior to Jan '17 therefore identification of specific so require action outside school, such a SEN w they will be measured ed and planned for accordingly toric gaps in curriculum	<u> </u>	rates) Success criteria Assessment packag No measurable gap		

	liture						
Academic year	2017/18						
•	elow enable schools to de whole school strategies.	emonstrate how they are using the pupi	I premium to improve classroom p	bedagogy, pro	vide targeted		
i. Quality of teach	ing for all						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?		
High quality English curriculum	Use of Power of Reading to support LTP	Centre for Literacy in Primary Education	LA supporting subject lead	LB	Spring 2018		
Better spellers across the school	Less testing of spellings and more free reading time	Krashen 2004	Specific timetabling each day – observation to ensure it is happening	LB	Spring 2018		
Whole school engagement in reading	Homework explicitly focused on reading (move away from project based learning). Resourced high quality texts suitable for older reader with lower reading	EEF Homework Primary	Source reading diaries for all children Meet with various book banded sellers with English lead and choose books from samples	TM TM and LB			
	ages – children involved in choosing texts Engage authors in school to create a 'buzz' around reading	Liverpool LA promote award for author engagement – schools engaged have seen a rise in reading attainment	Leadership time to implement	LB			
	1	1	Total bu	dgeted cost	£600 (MTP online subscription £300 and books to support MTPs £300) £1000 books to enhance reading bands		
ii. Targeted suppo	rt						
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?		
Specific interventions will bridge gaps in learning	Train TAs in specific interventions	+7 months additional progress with use of Maths intervention (Talk4Number)	Overseen by SENDCo and progress discussed with HT	JE	Spring 2018		

Facilitate small class size to offer specialist teach support to each child		Timetabling and performance management	ТМ	Spring 2018	
to support improvement	in Numerical evidence from children's	Added to homework expectation. Each year group specifically planned table focus each week by maths lead	ТМ	£100 per annum	
		Total bu	dgeted cost	ted cost £500 (tra staffing o	
nes					
Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation	
PP funding to pay for cl etc	ubs	Logged with office. Attendance sheets to check attendance compared to non PP	DC	July 2018	
		Total bu	dgeted cost	£200	
nditure					
Year	N/A (No PP prior to April 2017)				
ning for all					
Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue w	nue with this approach)		Cost
ort					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	support to each child Research strategies use to support improvement tables – in Jan 2018 cho TTRockstars hes Chosen action/approach PP funding to pay for clu etc enditure year hing for all Chosen	support to each child Anecdotal evidence from schools Research strategies used to support improvement in tables – in Jan 2018 chose TTRockstars Anecdotal evidence from children's improvements. See TTRockstars school stats hes Chosen action/approach What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? PP funding to pay for clubs etc PP funding to pay for clubs enditure N/A (No PP prior to April 2017) hing for all Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on	support to each child Anecdotal evidence from schools Numerical evidence from children's improvement in tables – in Jan 2018 chose TTRockstars Added to homework expectation. Each year group specifically planned table focus each week by maths lead TRockstars Mhat is the evidence and rationale for this choice? How will you ensure it is implemented well? PP funding to pay for clubs etc What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? How will you ensure it on no PP PP funding to pay for clubs etc N/A (No PP prior to April 2017) Logged with office. Attendance sheets to check attendance compared to non PP Image: State N/A (No PP prior to April 2017) Image: State State Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on Lessons learned (and whether you will continue w	support to each child Anecdotal evidence from schools Numerical evidence from children's improvements in tables - in Jan 2018 chose Anecdotal evidence from schools Numerical evidence from children's improvements. See TTRockstars school stats Added to homework expectation. Each year group specifically planned table focus each week by maths lead TM Total budgeted cost Total budgeted cost Measure it is improvements. See TTRockstars school stats How will you ensure it is implemented well? Staff lead Delta budgeted cost Per funding to pay for clubs etc What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? How will you ensure it is implemented well? DC Total budgeted cost Total budgeted cost Per funding to pay for clubs etc DC Total budgeted cost Total budgeted cost Total budgeted cost Staff lead Improvement is provement in the support of April 2017) Improvement is provement is provement in the support of April 2017) Ming for all Chosen action/approach Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on	support to each child Anecdotal evidence from schools Numerical evidence from children's intables - in Jan 2018 chose TTRockstars Added to homework expectation. Each year group specifically planned table focus each week by maths lead TM £100 pe Free transmostering Anecdotal evidence from children's intables - in Jan 2018 chose tables TM £100 pe Chosen action/approach What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? How will you ensure it is implemented well? Staff lead When review implemented well? PP funding to pay for clubs etc What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? Logged with office. Attendance sheets to check attendance compared to non PP DC July 201 Total budgeted cost £200 etc VA (No PP prior to April 2017) Total budgeted cost £200 Interview implemented interview implemented Chosen action/approach Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on

Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost

7. Additional detail

Termly meeting with families to discuss need and impact